

# A large BDT Model and application

Bjørn Eraker

Wisconsin School of Business

October 29, 2008

- We will fit a BDT model to current (Oct 28, 2008) yield curve
- Need to specify the volatility structure
- Application: bond option

# Volatility in the BDT model

Remember from last time that the BDT model specifies the volatility

$$\sigma(t) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{r(t)^u}{r(t)^d}$$

where  $r(t)^u/r(t)^d$  is the ratio of the up/down interest rates at each future time.

**This volatility measure is to be interpreted in relative terms.**

For example, if the current interest rate is 10%, a volatility of 0.19 means that a likely move in interest rates is 19% up or down, so

$$r^u \approx 1.19 * 0.1 = 0.119 + \text{expected change}$$

and

$$r^d \approx 0.1/1.19 = 0.084 + \text{expected change}$$

In the previous lecture we saw that the BDT example tree specified a move from 10% either 14.32% or 9.78% in the first period. We also expect interest rates to change from 10 to 12% in the first period.

Therefore, a volatility of 0.19% suggests that interest rates should change to approximately  $0.119 + 0.02 = 0.139$  and  $0.1$ .

These numbers compare to the actual  $r^u$  and  $r^d$  in the first period of 0.1432 and 0.0978.

# Measuring and forecasting volatility

Lets look at historical short rates. We want to measure the volatility of  $\ln r(t) - \ln r(t - 1)$  - the logarithmic change in interest rates we observe over time.

Note the following facts:

- Volatility of  $\ln r(t)/r(t - 1)$  for 6M T-Bill rates from 1959 to Oct 28, 2008 is 0.157.
- Volatility of  $\ln r(t)/r(t - 1)$  for 6M T-Bill rates from Jul 28, 2008 to Oct 28, 2008 is 0.43.

Lets look at a graph...




Figure: Relative log changes in 6M T-bill rates, Jan,1959-Oct,2008

## Facts about volatility:

- It is well known that volatility changes randomly over time.
- Volatility is mean-reverting. It reverts slowly.
- Recently (prior to Oct 28, 08), financial markets have experienced extreme levels of volatility.
- Volatility can be forecasted using statistical models similar to the AR(1) models for the interest rate

# A Volatility Forecasting Equation

We will simply assume that expected volatility in period  $t$  is

$$EV_t = EV_{t-1} + \kappa_V(EV - EV_{t-1})$$

where  $\kappa_V$  is the speed of mean reversion for volatility. There are several estimates of  $\kappa_V$  in the literature. Eraker (2001) estimates

$$\kappa_V = 0.02$$

Using weekly T-Bill rates. This corresponds to

$$\frac{52}{2} \times 0.02 = 0.52$$

at a six month frequency.

We now take the most recent volatility measurement 0.43 and computes the following forecasts:

6 month forecast:

$$EV_6 = 0.43 + 0.52 \times (0.157 - 0.43) = 0.285$$

The 12 month forecast is

$$EV_{12} = 0.285 + 0.52 \times (0.157 - 0.285) = 0.22$$

and we get  $EV_{18} = 0.187$ ,  $EV_{24} = 0.172$ , etc.

# Fitting the Yield Curve

I refitted a Nelson-Siegel yield curve function on 10-28-2008.  
The fitted parameters were  
 $b_0 = -0.259$ ,  $b_1 = 0.261$ ,  $b_2 = 0.582$  and  $\lambda = 0.0382$ .


The pricing errors were quite large, driven by high prices on long dated (30 year) bonds (recent flight to quality).

We can now build the BDT tree.

It is implemented in the excel spreadsheet "bigBDT.xls."

- The tree itself was built using the Matlab "Financial Derivatives Toolbox" using the function "bdttree"
- Built using 6 month intervals
- Control: We will use the tree to price coupon bonds and also an option on this bond
- First time step 10-28-2008 to 11-15-2008 - 17 days
- Remaining time-steps are 6 months.
- Valuation in the tree assumes equal number of days in each six month period. No weekend delayed coupons.

First few branches:



# Pricing the 9%, 11/15/2018 coupon bond

We will price this bond just to see that the tree is in fact reasonably built. If it is "correct" it will produce a price close to the theoretical price obtained through the curve fitting exercise.

- Real price is 142.2
- Curve-fitted theoretical price is 144.
- BTD tree price: 142.5

# Reasons for difference between theoretical prices

Difference is 1.5 cents. It could be due to

- Numerical rounding errors
- Bad dates
- Price from curve fitting obtained by measuring exactly the number of days to future coupon payments

# Pricing European Options on 9 of Nov, 2018

Suppose we have a call option to buy the Nov 2018 with strike 120 and maturity 4.5 years (May 14, 2013 - before the coupon payment on the 15th)

The spreadsheet reveals that the price today of such an option should be \$ 0.5811.

The corresponding put value is \$0.42.

# Probability distributions of future spot rates

The probability of the first branch (11/15/08) is  $1/2$  and  $1/2$ . The


upper and lower branch at time 2 (5/15/09) have probability  $0.5 \times 0.5 = 0.25$ .

There are two ways to get to the middle branch on 5/15/09: You can go up, then down or down then up.

The combined probability is  $0.5 * 0.5 + 0.5 * 0.5 = 0.5$ .

The spreadsheet has a sheet, "probabilities," giving the probability of hitting each possible future state.

We can combine this with the associated spot rate levels to plot the probability density of future interest rates.



**Figure:** Probability density of the spot rate 10 year from now in the BDT model.

**First time step:** Need to include a time step to account for the first 17 days before the first coupon payment. Subsequent time steps are in 6 month time intervals.

**Can do finer and coarser time steps:** Ideally, we'd build a tree with higher frequency time steps over an initial period, then more course time steps.

Ex: Build a tree where the first year is in daily steps, second is in monthly, and subsequent time steps are in quarterly increments.